

FROM THE EDITOR

Suzanna Sherry[†]

With this, our second issue, we move beyond my own students and my own areas of expertise – exactly the direction in which I hope we will continue.

From a 2014 graduate of George Mason University School of Law comes a sophisticated theoretical paper on the vexing and perennial question of whether the duty of care is owed to the world at large or only to a defined class of individuals. (Think *Palsgraf*, now being re-fought between proponents and critics of the Third Restatement of Torts.) For those in the thick of it, Peter Choi's paper provides a novel take on the question. For those of us who haven't thought about tort law since the first year of law school, he brings us up to date *and* gives us a way to talk knowledgeably with our torts colleagues.

In an entirely different vein, a 2014 graduate of the University of Pittsburgh School of Law tackles one of the very practical litigation issues caused by the meteoric increase in the amount of electronically stored information. Discovery of that information – e-discovery – is expensive, much more so than discovery of ordinary paper documents. Who should pay, and why? Corey Patrick Teitz explains the problem and offers a solution in the form of a proposed amendment to the federal statute that allows a losing party to be taxed for “costs.” Teitz's paper digs into the nitty-gritty of civil procedure and makes it fun and interesting. (And I'm not just saying that because I teach civil procedure – I know how yawn-inducing it is to my colleagues!)

And if your reaction to either paper is “my students produce better work than *that*,” put your money where your mouth is: send me your students' work.

• • •

[†] Herman O. Loewenstein Professor of Law, Vanderbilt University.